



Newsletter 229 A Summary of Dr. Judy Wilyman's PhD Thesis on Infectious Disease Control and Vaccines

25 April 2019

Important Information in this Newsletter:

- 1) To protect medical freedoms in Australia in the upcoming election Vote 1 the [Involuntary Medication Objectors Party](#). Candidates are running for the Senate in NSW, Qld and WA.
- 2) My recent interview with David Crowe in the US titled '[The Infectious Myth - Judy Wilyman on Vaccines and the Censorship of the Vaccination Debate in Australia](#)'.
- 3) Richard Di Natale, leader of the Greens party [provided false information in the Australian Federal Parliament](#) about the benefits of vaccines on 27 June 2013. Here is Robert F Kennedy Jr informing you of the [false information provided in many countries](#) about the MMR (measles) and DTap (whooping cough) vaccines.

A Summary of my PhD Thesis on the Control of Infectious Diseases in Australia

Contrary to the Australian government and media's claims about my PhD thesis, it does not 'oppose immunisation'. My PhD thesis, that investigated the control of infectious diseases in Australia, provides the evidence that demonstrates there is no justification for **mandating** any vaccine in any government policy.

Here is a summary of the four main critical points regarding the Australian government's vaccination policies that I presented in my [PhD thesis](#):

1. The significant decline in deaths and illnesses from infectious diseases in Australia occurred **before** the introduction of vaccines in mass vaccination programs. It is recognised by all the [prominent public health officials of the twentieth century](#) that the risk (death and illness) from infectious diseases was **significantly** reduced in all developed countries before the majority of vaccines were developed. This indicates that mass vaccination was not the **main factor** in controlling infectious diseases.

2. Australia's vaccination policies have been designed from the recommendations provided by the World Health Organisation (WHO) under Global Health Policies. The WHO receives these recommendations from an advisory group called the **Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation (GAVI)**. This alliance includes the Federation of Pharmaceutical Companies, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank, the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation and many more private and public organisations, all with equal input into global health policies.

The GAVI alliance cannot provide **objective advice** about disease control because many of these private-public organisations profit from the vaccines they recommend to the WHO.

Specifically, the GAVI alliance's recommendations to the WHO do not consider the diversity of genetics in the population or the special ecological conditions that vary between all the WHO member countries – two important factors in the cause of disease.

The WHO recommendations for vaccines are a one-size fits all and this fact means that these policies will **increase diseases** in all populations. They cannot be described as '**protective health policies**' because they contradict the science of epigenetics; the science showing that individuals are pre-disposed to diseases due to their genetic make-up and the interaction with chemicals in the vaccines.

3. The majority of the research on vaccine safety and efficacy that is *used by government regulators and advisory boards* is carried out or sponsored by pharmaceutical companies. These are the companies that profit from selling vaccines. In addition most representatives on vaccine advisory boards have financial or other conflicts of interests (COI) with pharmaceutical companies.

Research has shown that conflicts of interest in vaccine research and on vaccine advisory boards can lead to [bias in the design of clinical trials and the conclusions drawn](#) from these studies. It has also been proven that much of the [industry-funded research is fraudulent](#).

4. There are important areas of research relevant to vaccination policy that the government has not performed but are necessary to make claims of safety and efficacy about vaccines. This 'undone science' includes safety studies using **a true inert placebo** in the unvaccinated group for an **appropriate long-term period** – either for trials on the single vaccines or for trials on the combined schedule (~16) vaccines. The safety of vaccines cannot be verified without this study and yet this study **has never been done**.

Without this science the Australian government should not link the full schedule of 16 vaccines to childcare enrolments (**No Jab No Play**) or welfare benefits of up to **\$30,000 per child per year (No Jab No Pay)**. These coercive policies were approved by the **Liberal government** when **Scott Morrison** was the Minister for Social Services in 2015 - without any public scrutiny of the science.

Australians need to demand a truly independent government vaccine advisory board - free of all ties to the vaccine industry - to assess the **vaccine-industry funded research** before vaccines are recommended to the community in government vaccination policies.

The Australian government and media are not using objective research to 'educate' the community about vaccines and independent research is not being used to educate doctors about vaccines.

In addition, former director of the government NCIRS, **Peter McIntyre**, has provided false information in the mainstream Australian media and in the peer-reviewed journal, **Vaccine (Wiley, Leask, McIntyre and Burgess 2019)** about my PhD research on the control of infectious diseases in Australia.

Here is [my response to the flawed critique in the Vaccine Journal by McIntyre et al](#) that these government representatives provided on my PhD thesis that investigated the control of infectious diseases in Australia.

Judy Wilyman PhD

Bachelor of Science, University of NSW

Diploma of Education (Science), University of Wollongong

Master of Science (Population Health), Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Wollongong.

PhD in [A critical analysis of the Australian government's rationale for its vaccination policy'](#) (the science, politics and ethics of Australia's vaccination policies) , UOW School of Humanities and Social Inquiry.

Website [Vaccination Decisions](#)




Some of the Ingredients in Vaccines:

Did you know that antibiotics are in most vaccines? Many people are allergic to antibiotics and using any vaccine carries the serious risk of anaphylactic shock to this and many other vaccine ingredients. Are you being informed of this before you give consent to giving your newborn baby a vaccine?

Here is a link to the [Australian government's list of ingredients in vaccines](#) that is not provided to politicians, doctors or parents before vaccines are given to children.

Please consider whether you want these substances injected into the tissues of your new born infant before the blood brain barrier



Supporting Public Interest Research

I would like to thank everyone who has supported my research and newsletters over the last 5-10 years. If you have appreciated this unfunded independent research and debate of children's health I hope you will consider a [\\$5 donation](#) for the up-keep of my website and continuation of my voluntary newsletters.

Only funded research is promoted to the public and universities do not promote student research. Hence the need for my website and newsletters to promote my independent research that is in the public interest.

My website [Vaccination Decisions](#) became

is developed at 6 months of age or their other body systems. These ingredients and more are present in vaccines and the vaccination schedule has expanded to 16 vaccines requiring 52 doses from birth to adolescence.

And the '**new norm**' - allergies, anaphylaxis, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS), autoimmune disorders (diabetes, childhood rheumatoid arthritis, arthritis, multiple sclerosis etc.), thrombocytopenia purpura (ITP), autism, speech delay, neurological disorders, encephalopathy, meningitis, ADHD, childhood cancers, and many more.

necessary because the University of Wollongong [is not required to rectify the academic record to the public](#) when organised lobby group activists, such as **Australian Skeptic Inc / SAVN**, and other **activists from the powerful industry-medical complex** provide false information about my university research in the mainstream media.





Facebook



Website

[Email Judy Wilyman](mailto:Judy.Wilyman) | [http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?
u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=9529758f39&e=fec8337d3c](http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=9529758f39&e=fec8337d3c)

Copyright © 2019 Judy Wilyman, All rights reserved.

You are receiving this email because you opted in at our website.