



## Newsletter 225 Attacks on my PhD Research by Former Australian Government Policy Advisors

20 March 2019

### Important information in this Newsletter:

1. My response to the Wiley, Leask, Burgess and McIntyre paper published in the *Vaccine Journal* (February 2019) - [My Response](#)
2. [Summary of Peter McIntyre's false and misleading comments to the media \(2016 - 2019\)](#) and in a court case that was dropped after my affidavit was leaked to the Sydney Morning Herald.
3. My [full response](#) to the false and misleading comments that Peter McIntyre made about my research and qualifications in the mainstream media after he was drafted into a court case out of proceedings in September 2018.
4. [Link to the update](#) on the implementation of No Jab No Play Policies in WA

Last month, three years after my PhD thesis was published by the [University of Wollongong](#), two former directors of the government *National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance (NCIRS)* have published a 'critique' of my PhD thesis in the *Vaccine Journal* titled '[PhD thesis opposing immunisation: Failure of academic rigour](#)' by Wiley, Leask, Burgess, McIntyre'.

Two authors of this paper, **McIntyre** and **Burgess** have been representatives of the government NCIRS department for over 20 years, therefore they have a significant conflict of interest in writing this 'critique' of my PhD thesis. These authors *are defending their own decisions in this policy* and their attack on my

independent research is preventing transparent debate of the science. The other authors, **Leask** and **Wiley** are also based at the University of Sydney and do research for the NCIRS.

The title of the critique itself is a false representation of the focus of my PhD thesis. My PhD investigation did not oppose vaccination. I undertook an investigation to determine what role vaccines have played in controlling infectious diseases in Australia and whether the Australian government can provide transparent evidence for the risk/benefit assessment for each vaccine - and they cannot.

These authors have misinformed the public about the aim of my investigation and my conclusions in their article published in the *Vaccine Journal*. My PhD concludes that the Australian government has not provided any evidence to support mandatory vaccination in any institution or for enrollment in any educational setting. It also concludes that the Australian government has not provided a transparent risk-benefit analysis for any vaccine recommended on the national immunisation program.

Here is my response to the false information that [Wiley et al](#) have provided in the *Vaccine Journal* (2019) in an attempt to discredit my independent research on vaccine safety and efficacy.

Since my thesis was completed in December 2015 **Peter McIntyre**, former director of the NCIRS, has been involved in the following actions:

1. Providing [false information](#) to the media about my PhD research
2. Refusing to publicly debate my research at a [Q and A Panel discussion](#) at the University of Technology Sydney (15 October 2015). This debate was organised to discuss the proposed *No Jab No Pay* policies that were implemented in Australia in January 2016.
3. Peter McIntyre has supported ~60 academics at the University of Wollongong to [promote the government's claims](#) about vaccine safety and efficacy on the University of Wollongong website (January 2016) **even though these academics have never researched the science** in the government's vaccination policies **or debated the academic arguments in my thesis**. This occurred immediately after my in-depth PhD thesis was published on the UOW website in January 2016. This is deceiving the public about the *validity of the government's claims* about vaccine safety and efficacy.
4. In 2016 Peter McIntyre declined to permit his PhD thesis on the HIB vaccine completed in 1994 to be published in Open Repository on the University of Sydney website – as mine has been on the University of

4. Wollongong website. This limits the ability of the scientific community to scrutinise the academic rigour of his PhD. In contrast mine has received global scrutiny since it was published and the UOW is standing by this research.
5. Peter McIntyre was involved in a court case in September 2018 in which my affidavit was leaked to the Sydney Morning Herald and [he is quoted in this SMH article](#) making false claims about my qualifications and my PhD research. The leaking of my affidavit is currently being investigated by the **Australian Federal Police** as it is a federal crime to leak an expert witness report to the media when it is still before the courts. Here is [my response to Peter McIntyre's](#) comments about my research in this court case - a case that was dropped after the government's team leaked my affidavit to the *Sydney Morning Herald*.

These actions and strategies used by Peter McIntyre are also being used by the industry-associated lobby groups including the **Australian Medical Association (AMA)** - an industry-medical lobby group that only represents ~27% of all Australian doctors - and the **Public Health Association of Australia (PHAA)** that allows the [non-peer-reviewed SAVN lobby group](#) to present information at its **National Immunisation Conference** and on **The Conversation website**.

These actions are resulting in the public being educated on vaccines by *non-objective lobby groups* who are presenting false and misleading information about vaccines in *the official channels for debate*. They are also educating government representatives and health professionals with this false and misleading information.

Government authorities such as **McIntyre** and **Burgess** who have influenced vaccination policy in Australia for over 20 years, must be held accountable for the decisions that they have made to expand the vaccination program using coercive financial incentives and mandating vaccines in social welfare policies.

The genetic diversity of populations means that, like Thalidomide, many people will be harmed by mandating this invasive medical intervention in exchange for social welfare benefits and access to early childhood education. Parents want accountability for these policies and to date the Australian government has not justified the **No Jab No Pay or Play** policies.

### **Update on Implementing a No Jab No Play Policy in Western Australia**

Over the Christmas period the WA Premier rushed through parliament new regulations (delegated legislation) that will give the **WA Chief Health Officer**

new powers to ban under-vaccinated children (anything less than the current recommendation of 16 vaccines) from attending early childhood education centres for a period of time **when there is no outbreak of disease.**

The government did not provide evidence for the necessity for these new powers or allow public scrutiny of the new powers before they were implemented on **1 January 2019.**

Further, a **Greens party** MLC has informed the WA community that she cannot table our petition with hundreds of signatures that oppose the mandating of any vaccine (drug) for enrolment in childcare centres or other social services even though she agrees with the community's right to choose all medical interventions, that is, our right to bodily integrity.

This is because the leader of the Greens Party, **Richard Di Natale** supports the **No Jab No Play** policy and WA Greens representatives must vote in line with the federal party position on this policy.

Here is a [link to the letters](#) that I have written to government representatives requesting that they provide evidence to [five questions](#) before this policy is implemented in WA. To date the Premier, **Mark McGowan**, the Health Minister, **Roger Cook** and the Shadow Health Minister, **Sean L'Estrange** have not agreed to answer these questions that would provide the supportive evidence for this policy and my local member, the Shadow Health Minister, has not agreed to meet with me to discuss the community's concerns.

Please take an interest in this issue because **over-vaccination** of the population is occurring and the government has not provided evidence that vaccines can create herd immunity and nor have they provided evidence of the number and types of adverse events that are occurring in the population due to vaccines.

Judy Wilyman PhD

*Bachelor of Science, University of NSW*

*Diploma of Education (Science), University of Wollongong*

*Master of Science (Population Health), Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Wollongong.*

*PhD in [The Science and Politics of the Australian Government's Vaccination Program](#), UOW School of Humanities and Social Inquiry.*

Website [Vaccination Decisions](#)



### **Some of the Ingredients in Vaccines:**

Did you know that antibiotics are in most vaccines? Many people are allergic to antibiotics and using any vaccine carries the serious risk of anaphylactic shock to this and many other vaccine ingredients. Are you being informed of this before you give consent to giving your newborn baby a vaccine?

Please consider whether you want these substances injected into the tissues of your new born infant before the blood brain barrier is developed at 6 months of age or their other body systems. These ingredients and more are present in vaccines and the vaccination schedule has expanded to 16 vaccines requiring 52 doses from birth to adolescence.

And the '**new norm**' - allergies, anaphylaxis, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS), autoimmune disorders (diabetes, childhood rheumatoid arthritis, arthritis, multiple sclerosis etc.), thrombocytopenia purpura (ITP), autism, speech delay, neurological disorders, encephalopathy, meningitis, ADHD, childhood cancers, and many more.



### **Supporting Public Interest Research**

I would like to thank everyone who has supported my research and newsletters over the last 5-10 years. If you have appreciated this unfunded independent research and debate of children's health I hope you will consider a [\\$5 donation](#) for the up-keep of my website and continuation of my voluntary newsletters.

Only funded research is promoted to the public and universities do not promote student research. Hence the need for my website and newsletters to promote my independent research that is in the public interest.

My website [Vaccination Decisions](#) became necessary because the University of Wollongong [is not required to rectify the academic record to the public](#) when organised lobby group activists, such as **Australian Skeptic Inc / SAVN**, and other **activists from the powerful industry-medical complex** provide false information about my university research in the mainstream media.



Forward



Facebook



Website

*Email Judy Wilyman* | [http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?  
u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=46ac003d34&e=fec8337d3c](http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=46ac003d34&e=fec8337d3c)

*Copyright © 2019 Judy Wilyman, All rights reserved.*  
You are receiving this email because you opted in at our website.