

Newsletter 94 An Orchestrated Attack on a PHD Thesis

When my PhD thesis was published on the University of Wollongong website (January 2016) the copyright act was used to [selectively publish](#) the research. In addition, journalists and lobbyists have attempted to discredit the research by disparaging me, the university's processes and my supervisor. These members of the public do not counter any of the information in my thesis but use labels such as 'conspiracy', 'anti-vaccination' and 'activist' to frame the research in a negative way and influence public behaviour. This is harmful to public health and it attacks the integrity of the academic process.

My supervisor has written an article titled '[An orchestrated attack on a PhD thesis](#)' that describes the attacks on myself and others who attempt to get accountability and transparency in vaccination policies.

In my thesis I have provided evidence for 5 main themes:

- 1) Deaths and illness's to infectious diseases had significantly declined prior to the introduction of most vaccines.
- 2) Vaccination policies are being designed on international regulations designed by the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunisation (GAVI) and not in response to the specific environmental conditions in Australia. GAVI is composed of public-private partnerships that include:
 - The International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations (IFPMA)
 - The World Bank
 - The International Monetary Fund (IMF)
 - The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF)
 - The Rockefeller Foundation
 - Many other public-private partnerships
- 3) Nearly all research on vaccinations is carried out by pharmaceutical companies or sponsored by pharmaceutical companies who have a vested interest in selling vaccines.
- 4) The safety of the combined schedule of vaccines has never been tested for long-term health outcomes. This is despite the strong correlation between the significant rise in chronic illness in the population and the increased use of vaccines. Whilst a correlation does not equal causation it is unethical and not evidence-based to claim that the schedule of vaccines is safe if this link has not been properly investigated.
- 5) This policy is a one-size fits all that does not account for genetic diversity. This is significant to maintaining the health of the community and has consequences for the fabric of society if individual genetics is not considered. .

In the coming weeks I will present a summary of the main arguments from my thesis in my newsletter. Here is a link to the [full publication](#) of my thesis.

Judy Wilyman PhD

www.vaccinationdecisions.net

[Email Judy Wilman](http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=bab680fc33&e=fec8337d3c) | [http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?
u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=bab680fc33&e=fec8337d3c](http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?u=f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=bab680fc33&e=fec8337d3c)

Copyright © 2016 Judy Wilyman, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in at our website.