

15 April 2020

To Lauren Golman, Channel 9 A Current Affair – Re request for an interview:

Hi Lauren,

I am grateful that you have contacted me but at this stage I am going to decline an interview with you. This is because my experience of 8 years is that the Australian media presents a minimal 10 second grab (at best) of the information that I provide and this is misrepresented to the public without the academic arguments that I am presenting. Over the last decade this has happened to many people who have tried to present the public's voice on the right to choose what we inject into our own bodies.

So I will not do an interview with channel 9 at the moment because I do not want this academic information to be presented as 'anti-vaccination' or 'a conspiracy theory'.

This lie has been perpetuated to the general public by the mainstream media for over a decade now and the public wants a real voice on the issue of whether we lose our right to control what is injected into our own bodies. That is, our opposition to mandatory vaccination policies.

The public's voice has been removed from the political and mainstream debate due to powerful lobby groups in Australia who influence the political system with large donations. Plus the conflicts of interest of government representatives who are making these policy-decisions. Visit www.over-vaccination.net to see these significant COI of policy-makers in health.

Mandatory vaccination in government legislation is the mandating of a drug for healthy people who have different genetics. This **goes against the major principles of medical science** that state that all medications must be specific to a person's genetics. Yet this fundamental medical fact has been ignored by the Australian government.

I have been presenting my academic information in newsletters for 8 years now and not once in that time have I been given an opportunity to present this academic information to the public in a media article without being presented as an 'anti-vaxxer' and 'conspiracy theorist' and without my information cut to less than 10 seconds.

Hence I will provide you with information I would like to present in this email:

- 1) I am requesting that if you do a story about my research that you do not present it as anti-vaccination or a conspiracy theory. Universities do not approve PhD's that do not use evidence to support the arguments therefore I am not presenting a conspiracy theory and you are defaming my research if you present it in this way.
- 2) My background to this research can be found on my website www.vaccinationdecisions.net
- 3) This issue is about 'mandatory' vaccination not pro- or anti- vaccination. Hence we are talking about whether the government has the right to decide what is injected into people's bodies and not whether you support or do not support vaccination.
- 4) The media has suppressed the risks of vaccines, their ingredients and the fact that everybody's genetics means that they will react differently to a vaccine. Serious deaths and illness will occur in many people after mandating many vaccines (now the flu) vaccine in the

population. Here is a reminder of what happened in 2010 after the [flu vaccine was promoted to children](#) Hundreds of seizures, convulsions, and some deaths occurred after this vaccine.

5) A global pandemic cannot be predicted on a mathematical model because of the different environmental conditions in every country and the different quality of healthcare systems in different countries/regions. So the prediction of a global pandemic is flawed.

6) Scott Morrison triggered the pandemic response in Australia on 21 January 2020. The WHO did not declare a risk from this virus until 11 March 2020. What evidence did our prime minister use to bring in social distancing in Australia? This has not been provided to the public.

7) Mutated coronaviruses occur every year because they are common in all populations (7-15%) of all respiratory viruses so it is important the Scott Morrison provides the evidence that he used to bring in a police state that was ordered by an outside organisation that did not assess the virus with respect to our own conditions and healthcare in Australia.

8) Disease criteria can be changed from year to year so knowing how these deaths are being diagnosed is critical to whether we have a serious 'new' disease or not. Until there is independent assessment of the diagnosis of deaths and transparent knowledge of any underlying health conditions that they have then we do not know that COVID-19 is any more deadly than any other mutated coronavirus that we are exposed to every year in the flu season.

9) Peter McIntyre, ex-director and deputy-director of the government NCIRS for 22 years (1997-2017) has not provided the science that has been used to support mandatory vaccination in Australia. Why not? He has also not provided the science that shows that Australian children **are healthier after receiving the mandated 12-16 vaccines** and he can't because the government NCIRS DID NOT INVESTIGATE THIS LINK. The Australian government has been negligent in its duty of care to the people and it is mandating multiple vaccines when children's health has declined significantly **since 1997** when the NCIRS was established to research the health effects of vaccines on the population.

10) Since I began promoting my research Peter McIntyre has been involved in inappropriately trying to have my PhD removed with a lobby-group petition, he has participated in a federal crime that was reported to the AFP by being involved in leaking my expert witness report to the media and he and his NCIRS colleagues have written a critique of my PhD thesis that attempts to discredit my thesis with false and misleading information. He has a conflict of interest in doing this because he is responsible for the science that has been used in vaccination policies for 22 years – and now to mandate them.

Lauren I will be very happy to be interviewed by channel 9 when I am given a guarantee that all of my arguments will be presented without cutting and framing them to present them simply as anti-vaccination and a conspiracy theory. This is a complex and extremely important area of health and to date the mainstream media has allowed the medical industry to present information that is removing human rights in Australia and that promotes and secures a market for more and more vaccines to be mandated in the population. This is not in the public's best interest and the mainstream media is complicit in promoting the corporate message which is harming human health.

I will publicise this email with a video of the information that I would have provided to you. Please contact me again when you can guarantee that I will be able to present the information that shows how our right to health freedom in Australia has been removed. It is the lack of true investigative journalism in Australia and chief-editors who will present this information truthfully to the public that are a major reason why we are in this situation today.

Kind regards,

Judy