

Judy Wilyman

From: Judy Wilyman [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, December 14, 2017 11:30 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Your review request [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]
Attachments: Open Letter Clover Moore Loss of freedom of speech 171212.pdf; 5754-Health PosterA4_logo.pdf; Rachael Dunlop poster 2.PNG

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your response.

I am extremely concerned that you have ignored my complaint and framed your review as investigating "the UoW on the basis that it has not applied its policies consistently". This framing is false and ignores the essence of my complaint.

My complaint asked that you investigate the UoW policies that allow organised lobby groups to harass and ridicule UoW students with anonymity and vexatious complaints - *and without confidentiality*. This included a review of the policies that have allowed lobby group activists (including non-members of the UOW community) and UOW academics to use the UoW's logo to provide false and misleading information about my research, my reputation and about the health topic of vaccination - in the mainstream media and on social media.

The University's policies have also allowed UOW academics to promote non-evidence based information about a health issue to the public on the UoW website. This is being allowed without these academics ever having researched this health topic or provided evidence to support their claims on the UoW website. This includes Professor's Heather Yeatman and Alison Jones in their roles as heads of faculties.

The UoW has allowed all of the academics who have signed the Yeatman/Jones promotion of immunisation to use their professional titles and departments without any of them revealing that they have *never done any in-depth research on immunisation*. Yeatman and Jones are breaching the "Use of the University's Name in Public Policy" [Section 5.4] because they are not making this statement within their "professional expertise". What professional expertise do either of them have in immunisation? Please provide this evidence to support your conclusion.

This promotion using the UOW logo is deceptive to the public and it is also breaching the university's role and charter to *promote integrity in academic knowledge*. It means that the public is left to "trust" that these academics have researched this topic and have evidence for the claims that they are promoting using the UoW logo. This is not an evidence-based promotion of immunisation.

These same academics have refused to debate their claims in any public forum – **a complete breach of the University's charter** to provide evidence-based debates of scientific topics and to protect UoW student's reputations and research.

Below I have provided you with my open letter to Clover Moore who has recently attempted to censor free speech in Australia on vaccination. This was done by informing Australians that my university research should not be presented in public forums. Here is a link to this letter and a hard copy with evidence is attached (<http://vaccinationdecisions.net/global-news-clover-moore-breaches-her-duty-of-care-to-the-australian-public/>)

In this letter I provide further evidence of the false information that organised lobby groups are providing in the mainstream/social media about this important health topic. In particular it is the corporate-associated **Australian Sceptics Inc lobby group (and SAVN)** and the **Friends of Science in Medicine** who are using deceptive titles for their lobbying to provide non-evidence based information about vaccination to the public and to politicians. This is operating alongside government vaccine advisory boards that have COI with industry.

If I do not get a response to this email by next week I will take your silence as a confirmation that the NSW Ombudsman supports the University of Wollongong in allowing its policies to be used *and misused* by organised lobby groups to provide non-evidence based information about health topics to the public. This includes false information about UOW student research that can harm their credibility and reputation in the mainstream media and on social media.

The use of UoW's policies by organised lobby groups has not protected my reputation or the integrity of my research and this is a breach of the University's values stated in the **Student Conduct Rules Section 4, 5 and 6** [<https://www.uow.edu.au/about/policy/UOW058723.html>].

The use of UoW policies by organised lobby groups has allowed me to be harassed, ridiculed, abused and humiliated in the mainstream media and on social media as a result of choosing to study at the University of Wollongong. This has been well documented by several academics, including my supervisor, Emeritus Professor Brian Martin, in peer-reviewed journals.

I trust that you will fully consider these issues and provide a response to me by Friday 22 December 2017 or I will take your silence as support for these actions by UoW.

Yours Sincerely,
Judy Wilyman PhD
