Newsletter 23 Selective Information and Vaccine Safety

24 May 2013

Professor Robert Booy is a policy advisor responsible for protecting the public interest in government vaccination policies. He appeared on Channel 7's Sunrise program on Friday 24 May 2013 and made many statements about vaccines that did not accurately inform the public of the risks of vaccines. On 20 May I published Prof. Fiona Stanley's statement that "infectious deaths fell before widespread immunisation" (Stanley 2001). This statement is supported by all public health authorities of the 20th century. Here is the evidence http://vaccinationdecisions.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/Comments-by-Public-Health-Officials-1900-2000-1409061.pdf

Professor Robert Booy admitted on the Sunrise program on 24 May 2013 that infectious diseases were a low risk for most children in developed countries before vaccines were used in mass vaccination campaigns. That is, vaccines did not control infectious diseases. But he claimed ".... as there is no special genetic test to determine who is at risk of infectious diseases and who is not at risk..... then it is a good idea to vaccinate all children". This ignores the fact that we also don't have a genetic test to determine who is at risk from vaccines, therefore it is a good idea to minimise the use of vaccines and to use them with caution.

Here is the problem with the government's argument:

1. Professor Fiona Stanley states: It is the families from poorer regions with lower living standards that are most at risk from infectious diseases. She states that improvements in deaths and illness are directly related to higher disposable income and education i.e occupational status, living standards, nutrition and education (Stanley F, Yearbook of Australia 2001). This is why developing countries still have epidemics of infectious diseases even though they have had vaccination programs for decades.

Dubos (1966) stated '...until social and economic changes are made no amount of medical and scientific knowledge can be of much help.' (p.14).

- 2. The Australian Government's policy to vaccinate all children with 11 vaccines before 1 year of age subjects all developing infants to the risk of multiple toxins that parents are not informed about. Vaccination policies shift the 'risk' they don't remove the risk of disease because all vaccines have a side-effect in some people. We know that individual genetics means some people are more at risk from the toxins in vaccines than other children and they may have a "predisposition" to genetic conditions such as autism, diabetes, allergies, anaphylaxis etc.
 - Does Robert Booy have accurate information on how many children are being harmed by this policy? NO because government's use a *passive* post-vaccination surveillance system and not an *active* monitoring system. The TGA has not set up a monitoring system that will determine causal links with vaccines and adverse events, therefore the frequency and types of reactions after vaccination are not accurately known (Professor Peter Collignon, director of the Infectious Disease Unit, ANU, Canberra, 2010).
- 3. Robert Booy claimed on Sunrise that a 'mathematical model' is proof that 'vaccine created herd immunity' can protect communities. Yet these models are dependent on the data that scientists put into them. They cannot 'prove' a theory. The reasons why 'vaccine created herd immunity is unproven' are presented on the link below. Herd immunity does exist but it is known to be created by natural exposure to the infectious agent http://vaccinationdecisions.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/Questioning-Herd-Immunity-Created-by-Vaccination.pdf

More evidence for these statements can be found on my website but it is clear that Professor Robert Booy has simplified the issues and is not accurately assessing the risks of vaccines. It is very possible that a vaccination program that suggests unlimited vaccines can be used in infants is causing more harm than good in the population and evidence needs to be produced that this policy is in the public interest.

The possible conflicts of interest of government advisors for vaccination policy are listed here http://vaccinationdecisions.net/wp-content/uploads/2014/02/Conflicts-of-Interest-of-Members-of-the-Australian-Technical-and-Advisory-Group-on-Immunisation-141017.pdf

There are many doctors and researchers who are presenting these arguments against the use of vaccines but the media is not allowing them to have credibility. Here is the link to doctors speaking about the risks of vaccines at the International Medical Council on Vaccination (IMCV) http://www.vaccinationcouncil.org/

The government emphasises the necessity for people to have a 'medical qualification' to comment on vaccination policy even though this is not a medical problem: vaccines did not control infectious diseases and this policy is for healthy people. There are other stakeholders in this issue who are promoting their financial and prestige interests in this policy – doctors, industry and government. Therefore the general public must be equally represented on advisory boards to protect the public interest.

The public has a right to see and assess all the evidence that exists on the control of infectious diseases and not just the selective evidence provided by the Government and media. If all the evidence was being presented it would not be necessary for myself and many others to set up a website with information researched from medical journals www.vaccinationdecisions.net. This evidence shows that the risks of vaccines are being downplayed and that the policy has not been designed on complete scientific evidence.

Please forward this information to friends, family and the government to ensure that legislation does not remove the right of individuals to choose this medical procedure.

Please sign the 2 petitions below to demonstrate your support for this action http://www.avaaz.org/en/petition/Stop the vilification of parents whose children have been injured or killed by vaccines/?korZGe b

http://www.avaaz.org/en/petition/My Body My Choice/?cDZEidb

Judy Wilyman MSc (Population Health)

PhD Candidate