Flaws in the Arguments on Vaccination Rights in the Maurice Blackburn Blog

1. This claim by Maurice Blackburn lawyers is false:

"All the available, refutable evidence concludes that although no vaccine can be said to be 100% safe, they play an important role in controlling preventable infections and there are very few adverse events associated with vaccines".

Facts:

- The 16 vaccines in use in 2018 *did not control infectious diseases*.
- Vaccine adverse events (AE's) and deaths are not "very few" and the government
 has never monitored them in a systematic manner to know the exact frequency and
 type of AE's that are occurring.
- This is unethical and it is the reason why the refusal of medical treatment is a
 fundamental right enshrined in the International Covenant on Civil and Political
 Rights (ICCPR) and in the Victorian Human Rights Act (article 10).
- A policy that cannot state the exact frequency and types of AE's in the population cannot claim to be a "protective" health policy.
- 2. The government is claiming that it can intervene in the health of a child under 18 if it perceives the child to be at risk. In the case of vaccination, governments are claiming that:
 - The vaccines prevent infectious diseases and create herd immunity this is FALSE.
 For example, many vaccinated children still get the diseases they are vaccinated against and many of these children are harmed by the vaccines.
 - There is no evidence of vaccine-induced herd immunity but there is evidence of 'natural' exposure creating herd immunity.
 - In developed countries, like Australia, infectious diseases are less virulent and natural exposure is usually sub-clinical (asymptomatic) or mild and self-limiting.
 These infections give long term protection for the community. Getting these infections over the age of 1 year is not a serious risk to the majority of children in developed countries.
 - If you believe a vaccine will be beneficial in preventing your child from getting the disease then it is your right to use this vaccine if it is a fully informed choice. But there is no evidence that vaccines are beneficial to all children or necessary to protect the health of the community.

- 3. The claim that "the risk of infectious diseases is only low if there are high vaccination rates" is false:
 - The government has not provided any evidence for this claim and the historical evidence shows that it is not true – these diseases were controlled *before* the vaccines were introduced.
- 4. The argument that 'the community as a whole has an interest in the patient receiving treatment to prevent infections' is only *ethical and acceptable* if the government has provided evidence that vaccines protect the community by *creating herd immunity* and *without causing chronic illness* in the population through AE's.
 - This evidence has not been provided
 - The community has a significant interest in preventing and eradicating all diseases,
 not just infectious diseases, and this is missing from Maurice Blackburn's arguments.
 - Government policies need to be protective of 'Health' not just focusing on infectious diseases.
 - It is known that vaccines only create *short duration protection* (**if they are effective**) and that booster shots are required to re-stimulate the immune system throughout life. This is not proven to be better protection to the community than natural exposure in childhood.
 - The government has not done a proper risk-benefit assessment of any of the 16
 vaccines that it has mandated in legislation using transparent data on the risks and
 benefits for the vaccine.
 - The government is using value judgments about a medical procedure and these should never be forced upon a population. This is a civil or criminal offence because it breaches medical ethics.
- 5. According to the **World Health Organisation (2013)** "Developing countries have had mass vaccination programs for many decades" yet infectious diseases are still predominant.
 - If vaccines were effective and created herd immunity this would not be the case.
 - Maurice Blackburn has left this WHO evidence out of its arguments.
 - Vaccines did not eradicate smallpox. A vaccine was used for 150 years before it was
 eradicated using *isolation of cases* after the public health reforms were
 implemented in the first half of the twentieth century.
 - A vaccine did not control whooping cough or measles in Australia by 1950 as no vaccine was available.