

Newsletter 255 The Manufactured 'Pandemic' and Opposing Mandatory Vaccination and Medical Testing in Australia.

1 May 2020

<u>Criminal Actions of the CDC and Governments that are Locking Down Healthy</u> Populations:

- 1) International Tribunal of Natural Justice (ITNJ) (4th sitting 29 April 2020): Corona Emergency Hearing Dr. Rashid Buttar and Dr. Judy Mikovits PhD.
- 2) Butterfly of the Week (27 April 2020): <u>Under House Arrest as a Result of a Crime</u> Committed by the CDC.
- 3) This new influenza virus *Novel 2019 Coronavirus* (also known as SARSCov2) was downgraded as a 'High Consequence Infectious Disease' on 19 March 2020.

Opposing Mandatory Vaccination and Medical Testing of Healthy People in Australia

On the 4 April 2020 I published a video that <u>explained that false science</u> was used to claim that a 'global pandemic' could be predicted from a mathematical formula. (This video was immediately <u>censored by YouTube</u>. In addition, Facebook had already censored my *Vaccination Decisions* page).

Yet one week later (13 April 2020) the US Surgeon-General, **Jerome Adams**, who advises the US government on its COVID19 Policy, dumped the GATES/CDC/WHO '*Predictive Contagion Model*' saying:

"models are predictions when you do not have real data" (at 13.25 mins).

The **US Surgeon-General** states: "they (the predictions) were people's best guesses and /or informed by experiences in different cultures in very different places" (at **13.25 mins**). This is why a mathematical model using the same criteria for all countries cannot predict a 'global pandemic of a disease' and cannot be used to predict a pandemic in any specific country like Australia.

These models *wildly* exaggerated the deaths to a new mutated influenza virus based on hypothetical data. It is possible the data was collected from countries, such as China and Italy, that have very different environmental conditions to *Australia* and that both had mandatory flu vaccination programs in place (that cause death and illness) and poor environmental conditions *prior* to the so called 'pandemic' of this new disease – COVID19.

On 25 February 2020, Professor Raina MacIntyre, Head of the Australian Biosecurity Program, predicted that "260,000 - 390,000 people would die" from this virus in Australia. This was before she had any knowledge of how transmissible or pathogenic this virus would be in the *Australian community*.

Did you know that viruses are not pathogenic (disease causing) in most healthy people (99%) in *developed countries* with good public health conditions? Remember we are exposed to new mutated influenza (including coronaviruses) every year and in most cases they result in asymptomatic or mild self-limiting disease in ~99% of the healthy population. False *assumptions* were used in the predictive models because the authorities did not have *real data* of the new virus in the the *Australian context*.

The suggestion that you can predict a 'global pandemic' based on a generalised mathematical model is not based on *the science of how viruses cause disease in the community*. The '*Predictive Contagion Model*' used by the Gates/CDC/WHO medical-industry complex *was flawed* because it was not based on *scientific evidence*.

On the 29 April 2020 this false science was described by **Dr. Judy Mikovits PhD** in her interview discussing Dr. Fauci's Darkest Secret and his involvement in suppressing scientific research that does not support the medical-industry agenda and the promotion of vaccines.

In Australia the media uses medical-industry scientists and commentators, such as Peter Doherty, Norman Swan (Australian Skeptics industry-lobby group) and Karl Kruszelnicki (Australian Skeptics industry-lobby group) to promote the corporate message of the need for multiple vaccines and for serology tests for healthy people to participate in society.

The Australian media has censored the science that shows that vaccines did not control these diseases and that serology tests are unnecessary in healthy people. Currently, the Prime Minister, Scott Morrison, is stating that he will not end the lockdown <u>until more people down load the app that will trace our contacts.</u> This is clearly not about the health of the population.

This population control is occurring because of a re-classification of diseases in 2020 that enables "flu-like symptoms" to be classified as the disease "COVID-19". Many of the 'cases' of this new disease are being labelled from a *clinical diagnosis* (symptoms only) not from laboratory confirmation of the new virus.

All of the deaths from COVID19 have co-morbidity and may have other influenza viruses present, but if coronavirus (generic) is present then it is listed as the main cause of death not the cancer, lung edema, heart disease, or other underlying health issues that would have been listed in previous years.

<u>Are Social Distancing and Lockdowns of the Healthy Population Good Precautionary Measures?</u>

Did you know that Australia became the first country to declare COVID19 <u>a disease of</u> pandemic potential on 21 January 2020 when there were no cases of this disease in

Australia? And when there was no knowledge of how transmissible or pathogenic this virus would be *in Australian conditions*.

The extreme measures, that were introduced without any knowledge of this virus in Australia, are not "good precautionary measures" as the Australian government is claiming because the government has introduced - *social distancing, mandatory vaccination, mandatory medical testing for schools and apps to trace our contacts* - in the healthy population and these measures *are not proportionate to the risk of this new virus* in our country.

Measures can be stepped up when the actual risk of a virus is assessed and many countries have not used social distancing and lockdowns of the healthy population to control this virus.

This new influenza virus - *Novel 2019 Coronavirus* (also known as SARSCov2) - was downgraded as a 'High Consequence Infectious Disease' on 19 March 2020.

The environmental context of 1918 does not exist in 2020 so it is unlikely that **any new mutated influenza virus** would cause a pandemic because viruses do not cause disease on their own. They need certain environmental factors such as poor hygiene or toxins to be present in order to be pathogenic (disease causing).

Did you know that the soldiers in 1918 were also given the typhoid vaccine prior to the Spanish flu outbreak? This was in addition to the extremely poor and unhygienic conditions during the war that involved the mass movement of people.

The Gates/CDC/WHO (medical-industry complex) concerns about a 'global pandemic' in 2020 are flawed (and orchestrated to sell vaccines) because they are not based on the science of how viruses *cause disease* in humans.

The Australian government obtained Emergency Powers for a 'pandemic of a disease' in Australia on 21 January 2020 based on flawed scientific evidence and without any real data on the transmission of this virus in Australia.

Implementing lockdown measures and social distancing in the healthy population is not a precautionary measure to take when there is no good science suggesting that this virus would be a threat in Australia. Australians are exposed to new mutated influenza (corona) viruses every year.

Are we going to observe an increase in deaths and illnesses to "flu-like illnesses" (that is, "COVID19" after the mandatory flu vaccination campaign that is occurring in Australia on 1 May 2020?

Here is a <u>liability form</u> that can be used to refuse vaccines that are being forced upon you by employers, schools, and institutions. Please also visit the <u>Know Your Rights website</u> and the <u>Australian Vaccination Network</u> for further information on refusing vaccinations and unnecessary serology tests for healthy people to participate in Australian society.

Judy Wilyman PhD

Bachelor of Science, University of NSW

Diploma of Education (Science), University of Wollongong

Master of Science (Population Health), Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Wollongong.

PhD in <u>A critical analysis of the Australian government's rationale for its vaccination</u> <u>policy'</u> (the science, politics and ethics of Australia's vaccination policies), UOW School of Humanities and Social Inquiry.

Website Vaccination Decisions



Some of the Ingredients in Vaccines:

Did you know that up until 2018 antibiotics were in most vaccines? Many people are allergic to antibiotics and using any vaccone carries the serious risk of anaphylactic shock to this and many other vaccine ingredients. Antibiotics are still in many vaccines. Are you being informed of this before you give consent to givng your newborn baby or yourself a vaccine?

Here is a link to the <u>Australian government's</u> <u>list of ingredients in vaccines</u> that is not provided to politicians, doctors or parents before vaccines are given to children.

Please consider whether you want these substances injected into the tissues of your new born infant before the blood brain barrier is developed at 6 months of age or their other body systems. These ingredients and more are present in vaccines and the vaccination schedule has expanded to 16 vaccines requiring 52 doses from birth to adolescence.

And the 'new norm' - allergies, anaphylaxis, Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS), autoimmune disorders (diabetes, childhood



My book - Vaccination: Australia's Loss of
Health Freedom - is now available. This book
describes the history of the control of
infectious diseases in Australia with an added
chapter on the strategies that have been used
to remove health freedom in Australia.
The Australian government NCIRS has
attempted to denigrate my research because
I have shown that children's health
has significantly declined as the Australian
government added vaccines to the
vaccination program. Peter
McIntyre and Margaret Burgess are the

McIntyre and Margaret Burgess are the founding directors of the NCIRS and they did not invesigate this link. Here is my rebuttal of the false information they have provided about my research in the *Vaccine* journal - 3 years after my PhD research was published - Judy Wilyman's response to Peter McIntyre and Margaret Burgess's *Vaccine* article that attempts to discredit my PhD.

Supporting Public Interest Research

I would like to thank everyone who has supported my research and newsletters over the last 5-10 years. If you have appreciated this unfunded independent research and debate of children's health I hope you will

