

Newsletter 156 Mandatory Vaccination is a Chemical Attack on the Human Population

20 April 2017

Government vaccination schedules are not founded on evidence-based medicine or sound scientific methodology. This is a position that is supported by many doctors and other health professionals in a new documentary series called the Truth About Vaccines and by the evidence presented in my PhD thesis that is published by the University of Wollongong. Many doctors are now speaking out on the medical risks of vaccines and the flaws in the theory of vaccination that are resulting in the sickest generation of children ever. This is because government vaccination policy only focuses on infant mortality (deaths) due to infectious diseases and governments have never assessed (or properly monitored) the morbidity (illness and disability) that vaccines are causing in the population. All drugs have side-effects - risks - and these risks are being ignored by governments and doctors in the development of vaccination policies.

Government vaccination policies are based on an unproven *assumption* that vaccine reactions are 'rare'. This assumption has been made by the pharmaceutical industry that funds (100%) the government regulatory boards (e.g. Australia's Therapeutic Goods Administrator (TGA) and the US Food And Drug Administrator (FDA)) that have the dual conflicting role of approving drugs for the market **AND** monitoring their safety in the population. As the US government has removed all liability from vaccine manufacturers for any harm that may be caused by their products, and as most vaccines are licensed in the USA before they are approved in other countries (usually under a different name), then there is no motive to prove that any vaccine/drug is safe - particularly when profit is dependent upon these decisions.

So government regulators (the TGA and FDA) **haven't** set up an *active* monitoring system that can determine the *type and frequency* of adverse events that are linked to either single vaccines or the combination of vaccines used in our infants. They use a *passive* (voluntary) monitoring system that the CDC and TGA have openly stated *cannot determine causal links between vaccines and adverse events or the frequency with which they occur in genetically and <i>environmentally diverse populations*. This evidence is provided in my PhD thesis. They also admit that the AE's that *are* recorded most likely represent only 10% of the actual adverse events because many events do not occur immediately after the injection. Autoimmune diseases and 18/03/2021

other chronic illness (e.g. allergies and anaphylaxis) can come out months or years after the vaccine and these AE's are never documented to the vaccines.

In Australia the Australian Communication Media Authority (ACMA), the government media regulator, is claiming that reporting the other side of the vaccine debate in the media would provide 'false balance'. This claim is flawed because it is based on the **assumption** that vaccine AE's are rare - a false claim that is being promoted by powerful industry-associated lobby groups that have influence in the regulation of the Australian media. These powerful lobby groups include the Australian Skeptics Inc groups (a non-scientific body) and its offshoot The Stop the Australian Vaccination Network (SAVN), and the Friends of Science in Medicine (FoSM). Many of the subscribers/members of these lobby groups use abuse, ridicule and false information on social media blogs (eg. Peter Tierney's Reasonable Hank blog) to denigrate academic researchers and professionals who provide evidence against vaccination policies. They are also being given a voice (many without scientific qualifications) on popular social media websites such as Mia Freedman's, Mamamia blog, and The Conversation that is censoring the voice of academics even when they have peer-reviewed publications, because they do not support industry objectives.

These facts have also been revealed by doctors on <u>The Medical Council on Vaccination</u> website and in another recent documentary titled <u>Vaccines Revealed - the biggest public health</u> <u>experiment...ever.</u>

In Australia powerful lobby groups and individuals with links to industry have influence in the research that is funded and promoted at universities. They also have influence in the promotion of vaccination in the mainstream Australian media and on social media. Pharmaceutical companies are influencing government vaccination policy on vaccine advisory boards through the funding they provide to individuals and to the Australian Medical Association (AMA). The information being used by government's and media is biased and does not comply with the government's charter to protect public health with objective evidence-based policy.

As an Australian consumer who has researched the science and politics of these policies at an Australian university for 10 years and experienced first hand the suppression of my research in the Australian media by lobby group activists who are being permitted to misuse university processes, I object to the government mandating vaccines for welfare benefits and employment when there is strong evidence that it is harmful to population health - and that this evidence is being ignored by doctors and governments.

Government's have not provided evidence that the current schedule of vaccines is for a *legitimate* public health purpose or that it is safe. It is clearly not for a legitimate public health purpose because the risk (deaths and illnesses) of the diseases we are vaccinating against was reduced *before* vaccines were introduced - *an historical public health fact*. The vaccines did not create 'herd immunity' to control these diseases and this myth is being promoted by industry-associated lobby groups in government policy and in the mainstream media.

Here are some of the ingredients listed in Australian influenza vaccines that are promoted to pregnant women and children - thimerosal (ethyl mercury compound that is still present in multidose vials of flu vaccines and even some vaccines that are listed as 'thimerosal-free' vaccines), egg proteins, formaldehyde, gelatin, MSG, phenoxyethanol, polysorbate (causes infertility in animals), and antibiotics. Aluminium adjuvants and other toxins are in the whooping cough vaccine that is also promoted to pregnant women. Why are Australian doctors not educated on the ingredients of vaccines and required to inform their patients of these ingredients

before asking for parents consent to this practice in their newborn infants?

Professor Alison Jones, is the executive-dean of the UOW Faculty of Science, Medicine and Health, and as a toxicologist she has not stated that it is safe to inject these ingredients into developing infants or pregnant women. Yet this is what parents are doing. Whilst Alison Jones, (and 60 other UOW academics) have supported the government's claims that vaccines are 'safe and effective', they have done this *without* addressing (or demonstrating knowledge) of the ingredients of the 16 vaccines that are now mandated for Australian children (and adults in employment situations). They have also done this without addressing the evidence that I have presented in my in-depth research published on the University website that demonstrates vaccines are causing more harm than good in the Australian population. Alison Jones is promoting the government's claims about safety and efficacy on faith not evidence, and I believe that UOW's promotion of the government's vaccination program is a breach of the University's role in promoting integrity in scientific knowledge.

The ingredients of influenza vaccines and whooping cough vaccines are being recommended as 'safe' for pregnant women and infants by ignoring the scientific evidence in the medical literature and commonsense about chemicals in the human and infant body. This is not science and the concerned Australian public will not accept the governments new policies that are mandating vaccines for welfare and employment situations. These policies represent a crime against humanity if governments cannot provide definitive evidence that they are not causing more harm than good in human populations - and to date they have not provided this evidence.

Dr. Judy Wilyman PhD

The Science and Politics of Australia's Vaccination Policies

Vaccination Decisions











 $\underline{\textit{Email Judy Wilyman}} \ | \ \text{http://us8.forward-to-friend.com/forward?} \\ u = f20605fde3732e41929f4a3f2&id=f12a93b4e3&e=fec8337d3c$

Copyright © 2017 Judy Wilyman, All rights reserved.
You are receiving this email because you opted in at our website.